

**Advisory Management Committee
Notes of the Meeting Held via Microsoft Teams on 11th March 2021**

Committee Members
in attendance - [REDACTED] (Chair)
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Others in attendance - Claire Pudney - LGCHF
Emma Parkins – LGCHF

**MINUTES OF THE ADVISORY MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE OF 11th
March 2021**

1. Minutes of the last meeting

1.1 The minutes of the last meeting, held on Thursday 21st January 2021 were agreed by those in attendance and will be signed by the Chair of that meeting, [REDACTED]

2. Election of Chair

2.1 The Committee agreed that [REDACTED] would be the Chair of the meeting. [REDACTED] confirmed that [REDACTED] will be able to attend the Householder Applications Committee (HAC) meeting to be held on Friday 16th April 2021, if required.

3. Matters Arising

3.1 [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[Redacted text block]

4. Declarations of Interest

4.1 [Redacted text block]

5. [Redacted text block]

5.1 [Redacted text block]

5.2 [Redacted text block]

5.3 [Redacted text block]

5.4 [Redacted text block]

5.5 [Redacted text block]

5.6 [Redacted text block]

5.7 [Redacted text block]

5.8 [Redacted text block]

5.9 [Redacted text block]

5.10 [Redacted text block]

5.11 [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

5.12 [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

5.13 [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

5.14 [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

5.15 [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

6 17 Pasture Road – Two storey rear extension, one storey side extension & first floor extension above part of garage plus roof extensions to existing hips to form gables & alteration to fenestration

6.1 CP explained the scheme to the Committee.

6.2 A formal site visit was not able to be carried out.

6.3 [REDACTED] did not take part in the Committee's discussion.

6.4 The Committee noted the inaccuracy of the drawings, [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

6.5 The Committee expressed concern about the impact of the full width two storey extension [REDACTED] the amended front elevation, the scale of the new rear elevation, the amended roof profile and the overall bulk of the proposal.

6.6 The Committee were concerned that the proposal has received planning permission. The Committee noted that the Planning Officer's concerns that the proposal is not buildable. Should demolition be proposed, then a further application would be required.

- 6.7 The Committee felt that the property, during construction, would be demolished. It was noted that the homeowner has cited retention of the core of the building, but the
- 6.8 Committee felt concerned that the façade would be demolished; therefore, the proposal should be considered as a complete demolition.
- 6.9 CP advised that the principle of a demolition and re-build is acceptable, but extensive detailing is required.

The Committee agreed that accurate information is required to be able to assess the proposals. CP advised that extensive discussions had taken place, requesting accurate plans prior to submission of the application.

The Committee were unanimous in supporting the decision to refuse consent, made by the Heritage Advice Service; due to the lack of accurate and co-ordinated drawn information, and the belief that the application should be considered as demolition and re-build.

7. Any Other Business

- 7.1 None

8. Dates of 2021 meetings

- 8.1 13th May
8th July
9th September
11th November

The meeting closed.

Signed:

Date: